

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/769,374	01/30/2004	Masato Minami	1232-5270	1232-5270 7922	
27123	7590 04/06/2006		EXAMINER		
	& FINNEGAN, L.L.P.		DINH, JACK		
	NANCIAL CENTER , NY 10281-2101		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
,			2873		
			DATE MAILED: 04/06/200		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	1_/
		10/769,374	MINAMI, MASATO	
Office Action Sum	mary	Examiner	Art Unit	
		Jack Dinh	2873	>
The MAILING DATE of this Period for Reply	s communication ap	ppears on the cover sheet w	with the correspondence addre	ess
A SHORTENED STATUTORY F WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FRC - Extensions of time may be available under after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing dat - If NO period for reply is specified above, the - Failure to reply within the set or extended p Any reply received by the Office later than t earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CF	OM THE MAILING I the provisions of 37 CFR 1 e of this communication. e maximum statutory period eriod for reply will, by statu hree months after the mail	DATE OF THIS COMMUN .136(a). In no event, however, may a d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO te, cause the application to become a	IICATION. A reply be timely filed ONTHS from the mailing date of this commander ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status				
1) Responsive to communica	ition(s) filed on 16	March 2006.		
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL .		is action is non-final.		
, —	condition for allow	ance except for formal ma	tters, prosecution as to the m D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	nerits is
Disposition of Claims		·		
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,4 and 5</u> is/are p	ending in the appli	cation.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) _			•	
5) Claim(s) is/are allow	wed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,4 and 5</u> is/are r	ejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are obje	cted to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject	t to restriction and	or election requirement.	•	
Application Papers				
9) The specification is objected	ed to by the Examir	ner.		
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>11</u>	<i>April 2005</i> is/are:	a)⊠ accepted or b)□ obj	ected to by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request the	at any objection to th	e drawing(s) be held in abeya	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre	ction is required if the drawin	g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR	1.121(d).
11)☐ The oath or declaration is o	bjected to by the E	Examiner. Note the attach	ed Office Action or form PTO	-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		• •		
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made (a)⊠ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ N	_	n priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
1.⊠ Certified copies of tl	ne priority docume	nts have been received.		
2. Certified copies of the	ne priority docume	nts have been received in	Application No	
- ·	•	-	n received in this National St	age
• •		au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		
* See the attached detailed C	office action for a lis	st of the certified copies no	t received.	
Attachment(s)	•			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)			Summary (PTO-413)	
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawir 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (F 		3) 5) Notice of	o(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-1	52)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date		6) 🔯 Other: <u>D</u>	ETAILED ACTION.	

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments, filed 03/16/06, with respect to the claims have been fully considered and are persuasive. The rejections in the Office Action mailed 01/11/06, as well as its finality have been withdrawn.

Since the following rejections in this Office Action use the previously cited prior art as that of the Office Action mailed 09/19/05, the following response to arguments will therefore be addressed in respond to the Applicant's arguments and amendment filed 12/12/05.

Applicant's arguments with respect to the claims filed 12/12/05 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1, 4 and 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Machida (US Patent 6,879,430) in view of Ikeda et al. (US Patent 6,897,996).

Regarding claim 1, Machida (figure 6) is interpreted as disclosing an electrophoretic display comprising a substrate 12, a first electrode 38A and 38C supported by the substrate, a

second electrode 38B having a predetermined size and shape and disposed overlapping the first electrode, and microcapsules 84 (figure 19) each containing a dispersion liquid comprising a dispersion medium and two species of electrophoretic particles 18 and 20 different in charge polarity and color, are disposed on the second electrode, wherein the first and second electrodes are disposed so as to create an electric field along a surface of the substrate and are to be supplied with a voltage so as to move the two species of electrophoretic particles in mutually opposite directions along the electric field to effect display by viewing the two species of electrophoretic particles gathered on the second electrode from above the substrate (see figure 6). Machida is interpreted as disclosing all the claimed limitations except for an insulation layer disposed between the first electrode and the second electrode. Within the same field of endeavor, Ikeda (figure 1, col. 8, lines 16-25) is interpreted as disclosing this teaching of an insulation layer 8 disposed between the first electrode 6 and the second electrode 7. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide an insulator layer between the two electrodes, as taught by Ikeda, for the purpose preventing injection of charge from the electrode into the charged particles.

Regarding claim 4, Machida is interpreted as further disclosing that the colors of the two species of electrophoretic particles are white and black (col. 9, lines 48-49) and the display effects white and black display (col. 4, lines 30-41).

Regarding claim 5, Machida is interpreted as further disclosing the teaching of color filter disposed on the microcapsules to effect color display (col. 2, lines 33-50).

Conclusion

3. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jack Dinh whose telephone number is 571-272-2327. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (9:30 AM - 6:00 PM).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ricky L. Mack can be reached on 571-272-2333. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Jack Dinh 03/31/06

JORDAN SCHWARTZ PRIMARY EXAMINER